Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know why these estimates for the repair of these tanks go up and come down ? Sometimes they go to the Executive Engineer, sometimes to the Superintending Engineer !

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—In the usual course, they go up and come down. The Executive Engineer has got power to sanction; the Superintending Engineer has got power to sanction; it has got to be sanctioned by competent authority.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—A number of times they go up and come down.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—If specific instances are brought to my notice, I will take suitable action.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Do Government require that the ryots should contribute some percentage if these tanks are to be restored ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Naturally we expect the ryots to share the cost.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—What is the percentage you impose upon the ryots ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are certain rules. The rates also are fixed.

Amounts spent on several works in Taluks of Nanjangud Sub-Division.

Q.—97. Sri B. RACHIAH (Yelandur–Scheduled Castes).—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the total amount spent in each of the Taluks of Nanjangud Sub-Division since July 1952 up-to-date in respect of—

(i) ordinary original or repair works carried on by the Public Works Department;

(ii) works carried on to relieve distress;

(iii) works financed from out of grants or loans from G. M. F. Fund advanced by the Government of India;

(*b*) the corresponding figures for the years 1951-52 and 1952-53 ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Talukwise details of expenditure in the Nanjangud Division during 1953-53 are noted below :—

(i) Ordinary Original Works.—

		Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	2,65,636
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	38,122
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk.	38,164
4	Yelandur ,, ...	...
5	T.-Narasipur ,, ...	26,297
	Total ...	3,68,219

Repair Works.—

		Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	92,833
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	86,416
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk.	83,858
4	Yelandur ,, ...	...
5	T.-Narasipur ,, ...	1,19,160
	Total ...	3,82,267

(ii) *Vide* Statement appended.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

The allotment and expenditure for the year 1952-53 under '54-A. Famine Relief' are as follows:—

(From 1st April 1952 to 31st March 1953).

Taluk	Allotment	Expenditure
	Rs.	Rs. a. p.
1 Chamarajanagar	6,500	5,942 13 0
2 Gundlupet ...	13,830	11,354 1 0
3 Nanjangud ...	10,490	10,489 8 0
4 T.-Narasipur ...	2,000	519 8 0

Taluk	Works taken up	Works completed
1 Chamarajanagar	6	1
2 Gundlupet ...	17	16
3 Nanjangud ...	5	5
4 T.-Narasipur...	2	2

(iii) No works are directly financed from the loans and grants sanctioned by the Central Government. The Central assistance will be taken as receipts in the budget and grants under the respective budget heads are made available. The outlay on Grow More Food Schemes in the Nanjangud Sub-Division from 1st April 1952 is shown below :—

		Rs.
1.	Restoration of minor tanks.	
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	7,953
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	4,077
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk ...	7,904
4	Yelandur ,, ...	...
5	T.-Narasipar ,, ...	...
	Total ...	19,934
2.	Desilting of tanks.—	Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	...
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	14,896
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk...	4,443
4	Yelandur ,, ...	...
5	T..Narasipur ,, ...	3,360
	Total ...	22,699
3.	Improvement and extensions of river and feeder channels.—	
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	11,535
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	1,565
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk	11,802
4	Yelandar ,, ...	194
5	T.-Narasipur ,, ...	69,605
	Total ...	94,701

(*b*) The corresponding figures for 1951-52 are furnished below :—

I. *Ordinary original.* *Repair.*

		Rs.	Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	1,40,891	1,29,098
2	Gundlupet Taluk ...	62,833	1,29,456
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk ...	69,801	1,53,366
4	Yelandur Taluk. ...		8,852
5	T.-Narasipur Taluk ...	1,71,730	1,08,790
	Total ...	4,45,255	5,29,562

II. Nil.

III. Outlay on Grow More Food Works during 1951-52 :—

Restoration of minor tanks.—

		1951-52 Rs.	*1952-53* Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	14,698	7,953
2	Gundlupet ,. ...	7,847	4,077
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk ...	14,042	7,904
4	Yelandur Taluk ...	...	...
5	T.-Narasipur ,, ...	...	...
	Total ...	36,587	19,934

		Rs.	Rs.
	Desilting of tanks.—		
1	Nanjangud Taluk	...	...
2	Gundlupet ,, ...	948	14,896
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk ...	2,851	4,443
4	Yelandur Taluk ...	1,709	...
5	T.-Narasipur ,, ...	...	3,360
	Total ...	5,508	22,699

Improvement and extension of river and feeder channels :—

		1951-52 Rs.	*1952-53* Rs.
1	Nanjangud Taluk ...	46,443	11,535
2	Gundlupet Taluk ...	5,369	1,565
3	Chamarajanagar Taluk ...	20,722	11,802
4	Yelandur Taluk.	5,449	194
5	T.-Narasipur Taluk ...	3,39,712	69,605
	Total ...	4,17,695	94,701

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಸಾಧಾರಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ನಂಜನಗೂಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಖರ್ಚು ನುಗು ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಕಳೆದ ಏಳು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಯಳಂದೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಯಾವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ದುಡ್ಡು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಜಹಗೀರಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದೇ ಕಾರಣವಾಯಿತು. ಈ ವರ್ಷ 1¼ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಜಹಗೀರಿ ಫಂಡಿನಿಂದ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಮಾಡಿನುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.— ಮಾಡಿನುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—Incomplete ಆಗಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಪೂರ್ತಿಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಬಾಬುಗಳಿಗೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ 1951-52ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ 1952-53ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ನುಮಾರು 5¼ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯಲ್ಲ, ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಒಟ್ಟು ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡಿದರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಡಿಮೆ ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ; 1951-52ರದನ್ನು ಕೂಡಿದರೆ 15 ಲಕ್ಷ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆಯಷ್ಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ; ಆದರೆ 1952-53ಕ್ಕೆ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅದು ಒಟ್ಟು 8 ಲಕ್ಷ 87 ಸಾವಿರ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ 6 ಲಕ್ಷ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆಯಷ್ಟು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಯಿತು ಈ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಏಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಡಿವಿಜನ್ನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಹಣದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ನರಿಯಾಗಿ ಹಂಚುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.- ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಶಿಫಾರನು ಮಾಡಿದರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯೊಂದನ್ನೇ ನೋಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; ನಮ್ಮ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನೂ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ನಾರಿಯ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲೇನಾದರೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲೇ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಹೀಗೆ ಡಿವಿಜ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ —ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೂ ಸರಿಸಮಾನವಾಗಿ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

Sri S. SRIN VASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that there are a number of minor tanks that have been breached several years back in T. Narsipur Taluk?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is so in the case of all these tanks.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—That being the case, will the Government state the reason for not allotting any amount for the restoration of minor tanks in T. Narasipur Taluk?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The restoration depends upon the utility of the project and also the urgency and the availability of funds. The question mainly depends upon the availabiltiy of funds.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Do the Government mean thereby that the breached tanks in T. Narasipur Taluk are of no utility?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is taken on the basis of priority.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Do not the Government consider as to when the tanks were breached before they take up the question of repairing them?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It is not the duration that counts; as I have already submitted, it is the utility of the project and the urgency.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—There are certain atchkats lying fallow for the last 10 years. Will not Government consider that there is utility and urgency in the case of the tanks above these atchkat?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Most of these are unproductive. It is on the basis of utility and urgency we are taking up the works. After the urgent schemes are finished, we are going to take up other schemes.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—My question is, when the atchkat is lying fallow for the last ten years, do not Government feel that there is urgency to raise crops in those lands?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—We are taking them according to the urgency.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the Government at least now allot some funds for the maintenance of minor tanks in T. Narsipur Taluk?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I suppose we have already allotted.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ತಾವು ಒದಗಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಷ್ಟೂ ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗದೆ ಉಳಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವುಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರುಗಳು ಸಿಕ್ಕದೆ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇನ್ನೂ ಬೇರೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳೂ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ಟಿನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆಂದೇನಾದರೂ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—11ನೆಯ ಪುಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಳಂದೂರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ಏನೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂದರೆ ಯಳಂದೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೂ ರಿಲೀಫ್ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ಕೈಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I am not definite about it.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know when the estimate was revised in regard to the construction of new tanks in Mysore Taluk?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Notice.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know whether the work has been taken up ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—It has been taken up.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—At what stage the construction is?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I have not got the latest information.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know why the Government was not pleased to sanction the desilting of Narasambudi Tank in Nanjangud Taluk ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—I cannot give the reason off-hand.

Irrigation Projects taken up since 1948.

Q.—110. Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many new irrigation projects have been taken up by the Government since 1948 to 1953 April; names of the projects and the estimate of each project may kindly be given:

(*b*) how many of them are completed and how many of them are under completion;

(*c*) how many times the estima e has been revised in each case ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) 227; statement appended below.

(*b*) 83 completed ; 34 nearing completion.

(*c*) In the case of 54 works estimates were revised; 51 estimates were revised once and 3 twice.

Statement showing the Irrigation projects taken up from 1948 to 1953 (April) :—

Sl. No.	Name of Project	Amount of estimate	Amount of revised estimate
	UPPER CAUVERY DIVISION, K. R. NAGAR	Rs.	Rs.
1	Improving and extending Kattepur Channel ...	88,110	1,30,900
2	Constructing a new tank in S. No. 142 of Doddakyathanahally Village, K. R. Nagar Taluk.	21,800	...
3	Diverting Lakkur-Honnavar waste weir into the Chikka Chagalli major in Hole-Narsipur Taluk.	34,450	30,650
4	Improving and extending Chamaraja left bank channel beyond Manchinakere.	1.14,700	1,30,000
5	Improvements to Malali anicut and channels, Hole-Narsipur Taluk.	20,500	...
6	Constructing a pick-up across waste-weir halla in Pura valley.	17,300	...
7	Constructing an ane across Doddanakatte halla near Doddanakatte, Krishnarajapet Taluk.	3,75,000	...
8	Constructing a pick-up weir across Tore-halla near Sharahally in Krishnarajpet Taluk.	38,000	...
9	Constructing a reservoir near Southe Abbur and opening a feeder channel to Konanur tank in Arkalgud Taluk.	7·59 lakhs 4·36 lakhs	...